

REMARKS

This response is submitted in response to an Office Action transmitted on January 5, 2007. Claims 1-24 were pending at the time the Office Action was issued. Applicants hereby amend claims 1-14, 18-21, and 23. Claims 1-24 remain pending.

I. EXAMINER INTERVIEW

Applicants respectfully express their appreciation to Examiner Pham for the telephone interview held on March 12, 2007, during which the Examiner discussed the disposition of this case with the undersigned attorney. Specifically, the Examiner and the undersigned attorney discussed the allowability of “computer-readable storage medium,” as proposed by the Applicants.

Also, the Examiner and the undersigned attorney discussed the difference between claim 1 and the teachings of U.S. Publication 2004/0153995 to Polonovski *et al.* (hereinafter “Polonovski”) with respect to source code editing. However, agreement was not reached regarding the allowability of the claims.

II. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 1-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed towards non-statutory subject matter. Applicants have amended each of the claims 1-14 to recite a “computer readable storage medium” instead of a “computer readable medium.” Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the objections to claims 1-14.

III. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112 OF CLAIMS 18-21

Claims 18-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Applicants have amended each of the claims 18-21 to recite “method” instead of “computer readable medium.” Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the objections to claims 18-21.

IV. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102 OF CLAIMS 1-24

Claims 1-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as having been anticipated by Polonovski. Submitted herewith is a declaration of the inventors under 37 CFR § 1.131 swearing behind Polonovski. Polonovski is therefore removed as prior art.

Although Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-24 are not anticipated by Polonovski, Applicants respectfully reserve further comment on the merits of the Examiner’s rejections in view of the enclosed declaration under 37 CFR § 1.131. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of claims 1-24.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-24 are now in condition for allowance. If there are any remaining matters that may be handled by telephone conference, the Examiner is kindly invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: April 4, 2007

By: /Elliott Y. Chen, Reg. No. 58,293/
Elliott Y. Chen
Reg. No. 58,293
Lee & Hayes, PLLC
421 W. Riverside Ave, Suite 500
Spokane, WA 99201
Phone: (206) 315-4001 x104
or (206) 315-7914
Fax: (206) 315-4004

Enclosure: Declaration of Inventors under 37 CFR § 1.131